

To: Distler, Kenneth[DISTLER.KENNETH@EPA.GOV]
From: Bohan, Suzanne
Sent: Mon 4/15/2013 10:45:32 PM
Subject: Re: Conformity

Yep. FYI, Carl and Scott are revising comment 1 because they think the meaning was lost before Kate reviewed. They said they will get me a draft tonight. I will share w you tomorrow am.

Hang in there.
Suzanne

From: Distler, Kenneth
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 4:17:10 PM
To: Bohan, Suzanne
Subject: RE: Conformity

Ok.....long day.

From: Bohan, Suzanne
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 4:07 PM
To: Distler, Kenneth
Subject: RE: Conformity

Thanks. I will take this with me **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** I haven't
receive anything from Scott so will look tonight. I would like you to review that, so won't plan to
send until am.

From: Distler, Kenneth
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 3:48 PM
To: Bohan, Suzanne
Subject: RE: Conformity

Suzanne, went back to eis to verify.... I added a comment bubble to the attached piece. It looks ok, but when the final version is ready there's a couple of small editorial pieces that need corrected. Also,

Here is the email address of the project lead for NPL:

jspeck@blm.gov James Speck

Ken

From: Bohan, Suzanne
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 2:47 PM
To: Distler, Kenneth
Subject: FW: Conformity

Ken –

Can you please look at the General Conformity review period comments that Kate provided and see if you can clarify the language? Scott is working on clarifying the drill rig emissions section.

Don Simpson was angry about this not going out yet. I would like to get this out today.

Suzanne

From: Fay, Kate
Sent: Sunday, April 14, 2013 5:32 PM
To: Bohan, Suzanne
Subject: Conformity

Please see attached. I am confused about two main points. I think the problem is too many writers. If understood the point, I would rewrite...but am not sure that I do.

Kate